

Parish	Representation received	Comments
Abbots Ripton	Abbots Ripton Parish Council	Oppose amalgamation of Abbots Ripton parish with Kings Ripton parish – loss of identity for village, no problem with filling vacancies, against reduction in number of councillors. No objection to parish boundary changes – however suggest moving Bevills Wood into the parish. Concern expressed regarding clerks roles of both parishes – who assumes responsibility?
Bury	Residents of, Foundry Way, Grenfell Road, Lioncroft Cottages, Lion Walk and The Terrace and Councillor P L E Bucknell.	Oppose proposals for changes to parish boundaries between Ramsey and Bury – increased Council Tax, properties form historical part of Bury, participated in campaigns for playing field and village hall, loss of community
Easton	Five residents of Easton	Oppose amalgamation of Easton parish with Ellington parish – different sized villages and face very different local issues. Easton has a vibrant community life and never shared close affinities with Ellington.
Ellington	Mr M Jones, Ellington	Oppose amalgamation of Easton parish with Ellington parish – unlikely to result in anyone from Easton being elected thus disenfranchising Easton residents on important issues such as planning over which they will have very little say.
	Dr B N K Davis, Easton	Oppose proposal for boundary change between Ellington and Spaldwick (map 21) follows natural boundary of Ellington Brook and fear it would disappear if both sides of the Brook became part of Spaldwick parish.
Fenstanton	Councillor Mrs J Chandler	Oppose proposal for boundary changes between Fenstanton and St Ives – impact of removing 400 houses from the parish will make such services as the village post office vulnerable.
Folksworth & Washingley	Folksworth & Washingley Parish Council	Prefer to retain existing parish council size of 9 rather than reduce to 7 as no difficulties in maintaining membership.
Great Gransden	Great Gransden Parish Council	Object to reduction in council size from 9 to 7. (Is not proposed that the numbers be reduced).

Parish	Representation received	Comments
Godmanchester	Godmanchester Parish Council	Support proposal to increase council size from 15 to 17.
Hemingford Grey	Hemingford Grey Parish Council	Oppose proposal to amend (map 4) southern boundary of St Ives parish near The Dolphin Hotel but has no objection to amending the boundary near Holt Island.
Holywell-cum-Needingworth	Holywell-cum-Needingworth Parish Council	Oppose proposal to amend (map 5) boundary and suggest an alternative proposal to follow the physical boundary of Harrison Way.
Huntingdon	Huntingdon Town Council	Support the proposals for boundary changes to incorporate the new Northbridge development and Hinchingsbrooke Ward of The Stukeleys. However object to the increase in council size to 19 and would prefer to remain at 16.
Huntingdon	Councillor T D Sanderson	Oppose proposals to include the Northbridge development in Huntingdon.
Holme	Holme Parish Council	Object to reduction in council size from 9 to 7. (Is not proposed that the numbers be reduced).
Hail Weston	Hail Weston Parish Council	No objection to the parish boundary proposals but object to a reduction in council size from 7 to 5 due to meetings being inquorate, jeopardise Quality Status and overburdening of councillors with more duties to share around between fewer members.
Kings Ripton	Kings Ripton Parish Council	Oppose amalgamation of Abbots Ripton parish with Kings Ripton parish – loss of separate identities, Abbots Ripton will end up with larger share of councillors, retain a fully elected parish council and loss of clerk.
Leighton Bromswold	Five residents of Leighton Bromswold	Oppose the amalgamation of Leighton Bromswold with Barham & Woolley and Buckworth – each member representing the smaller parishes would carry a lot more responsibility for their own village, taking responsibility for issues in unfamiliar parishes, issues of each village may be lost in a merged parish council, lead to a dilution of the local voice as currently understand local issues intimately and no obvious links between villages.
Little Paxton	Little Paxton Parish Council	Support proposed boundary changes to include the island site within Little Paxton, but oppose the reduction in council size from 15 to 13 – already achieves a good spread of representation and doesn't overburden members.
Stow Longa	Three residents of Stow Longa	Oppose the amalgamation of Stow Longa and Spaldwick – few common interests, smaller village may not be represented and is not democratic, different issues affecting each village, separate identities and Stow Longa may lose it's voice as the larger village may take over.

Parish	Representation received	Comments
St Neots	St Neots Town Council	Support the proposals for St Neots.
The Stukeleys	The Stukeleys Parish Council	Supports the proposals for changes to parish boundaries but opposes the reduction of council size from 13 to 7 and requests that a minimum of 10 councillors is required to properly discharge its functions.
Woolley	Mrs M Harris, Woolley	Oppose the amalgamation of Leighton Bromswold with Barham & Woolley and Buckworth.
Warboys	Warboys Parish Council	Support the proposals for Warboys.